## Application No. 08/238,080

Applicant(s)

Collins et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

Dianne Rees

Group Art Unit 1807



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Dianne Rees	(3)	#16
(2) Norval Galloway		
Date of Interview Mar 26, 1997		
Type: X Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes X No. If yes, brief description:		
Agreement   was reached.  was not reached.		
Claim(s) discussed: all pending		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if Appticant's counsel discussed aspects of the invention relawas no expressed motivation to combine the references cinquiritying target nucleic acids prior to amplification, and, full was recognized at all) it was done by methodology other the was no expressed motivation in the references but that expunder 103. The Examiner discussed that although the art processed notivation and improvement over the art; the modification of the art known sample) was recognized as offering an advantage that would be claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copis available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the paragraph above has been checked to indicate the LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUSection 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has	ted to the rejection under 35 USC 103; partited, that the evidentiary record of the prior of the, that where purification was done (i.e. when that claimed by applicant. The examiner pressed motivation was not required to established "quick and dirty" solutions to amplified that although the claimed invention repressed to the characteristic purifying a target using a solid and render this improvement an obvious one.  Important the examiner agree of the amendents which would render the other record of the substance of the interview. The contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. So already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN OF THE SUESTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	ticularly that there art did not teach when the problem agreed that there blish obviousness fication, this did sented an support from a  eed would render e claims allowable  ONSE TO THE (See MPEP
FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF  2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (included each of the objections, rejections and requirements claims are now allowable, this completed form is confice action. Applicant is not relieved from provide is also checked.	cluding any attachments) reflects a complete that may be present in the last Office action onsidered to fulfill the response requirements	n, and since the
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an att	eachment to a signed Office action	16